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A classical result of Eneström and Kakeya (if $a_{n} \geqslant a_{n-1} \geqslant a_{n-2} \cdots \geqslant a_{1}>0$, then, for $|z|>1, \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k} z^{k} \neq 0$ ) is extended to polynomials whose coefficients are monotonic but not necessarily positive. © 1984 Academic Press, Inc.

## 1. Introduction and Statement of Results

The following result is well known.
Theorem A (Eneström-Kakeya). If

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{n} \geqslant a_{n-1} \geqslant a_{n-2} \geqslant \cdots \geqslant a_{1} \geqslant a_{0}>0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then, for $|z|>1, \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k} z^{k} \neq 0$.
Theorem $A$ has been extended in various ways (cf. [1,2,5]). Joyal, Labelle and Rahman [4, Theorem 3] proved

Theorem B. If $p(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k} z^{k}$ is a polynomial of (exact) degree $n$ $(n \geqslant 1)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{n} \geqslant a_{n-1} \geqslant a_{n-2} \geqslant \cdots \geqslant a_{1} \geqslant a_{0} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $p(z)$ has all its zeros in the circle.

$$
\begin{equation*}
|z| \leqslant \frac{a_{n}-a_{0}+\left|a_{0}\right|}{\left|a_{n}\right|} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]In this paper we show that the disk given by (1.3) can be replaced by an annulus with a smaller outer radius. More precisely, we prove the following

THEOREM. Let $p(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k} z^{k}$ be a polynomial of (exact) degree $n$ $(n \geqslant 1)$ such that

$$
a_{n} \geqslant a_{n-1} \geqslant \cdots \geqslant a_{1} \geqslant a_{0}
$$

Then $p(z)$ has all its zeros in the annulus (perhaps degenerate)

$$
R_{2} \leqslant|z| \leqslant R_{1}
$$

Here

$$
R_{1}=\frac{c}{2}\left(\frac{1}{\left|a_{n}\right|}-\frac{1}{M_{1}}\right)+\left\{\frac{c^{2}}{4}\left(\frac{1}{\left|a_{n}\right|}-\frac{1}{M_{1}}\right)^{2}+\frac{M_{1}}{\left|a_{n}\right|}\right\}^{1 / 2}
$$

and

$$
R_{2}=\frac{1}{2 M_{2}^{2}}\left[-R_{1}^{2} b\left(M_{2}-\left|a_{0}\right|\right)+\left\{R_{1}^{4} b^{2}\left(M_{2-}\left|a_{0}\right|\right)^{2}+4\left|a_{0}\right| R_{1}^{2} M_{2}^{3}\right\}^{1 / 2}\right]
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{1} & =a_{n}-a_{0}+\left|a_{0}\right| \\
M_{2} & =R_{1}^{n}\left(\left|a_{n}\right| R_{1}+a_{n}-a_{0}\right), \\
c & =a_{n}-a_{n-1} \\
b & =a_{1}-a_{0} \tag{1.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leqslant R_{2} \leqslant 1 \leqslant R_{1} \leqslant \frac{a_{n}-a_{0}+\left|a_{0}\right|}{\left|a_{n}\right|} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $a_{0}>0$, the last theorem yields Theorem A.
To prove (1.5), observe that the inequality $R_{1} \geqslant 1$ follows from the definition of $R_{1}$ noting that $c \geqslant 0$ and $M_{1} \geqslant\left|a_{n}\right|$. The inequality $0 \leqslant R_{2} \leqslant 1$ follows from the definition of $R_{2}$ and the inequality $\left|a_{0}\right| \leqslant\left(M_{2} / R_{1}^{2}\right)$. $\left(M_{2}+R_{1}^{2} b\right) /\left(M_{2}+b\right)$, which is a consequence of (3.7). Thus in order to establish (1.5), it remains only to show that $R_{1} \leqslant\left(a_{n}-a_{0}+\left|a_{0}\right|\right) /\left|a_{n}\right|$, and for this, note that

$$
\frac{M_{1}}{\left|a_{n}\right|} \geqslant \frac{c}{2}\left(\frac{1}{\left|a_{n}\right|}-\frac{1}{M_{1}}\right)+\left\{\frac{c^{2}}{4}\left(\frac{1}{\left|a_{n}\right|}-\frac{1}{M_{1}}\right)^{2}+\frac{M_{1}}{\left|a_{n}\right|}\right\}^{1 / 2}
$$

if

$$
2 M_{1}^{2} \geqslant c\left(M_{1}-\left|a_{n}\right|\right)+\left\{c^{2}\left(M_{1}-\left|a_{n}\right|\right)^{2}+4 M_{1}^{3}\left|a_{n}\right|\right\}^{1 / 2},
$$

which is true if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(M_{1}-c\right)\left(M_{1}-\left|a_{n}\right|\right) \geqslant 0 . \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since (1.6) holds, the inequality $R_{1} \leqslant\left(a_{n}-a_{0}+\left|a_{0}\right|\right) /\left|a_{n}\right|$ follows and the proof of (1.5) is complete.

The results obtained by our Theorem are at least as good as those obtained by Theorem B, but in some cases the results obtained by our Theorem are very much better than those obtained by Theorem B. To illustrate this we consider the following examples.

## Example 1.

$$
p(z)=6 z^{4}+4 z^{3}+3 z^{2}+2 z-100 .
$$

Theorem B gives that $p(z)$ has all its zeros in $|z| \leqslant 34.3334$, while our Theorem gives that $p(z)$ has all its zeros in $0 \cdot 1297 \leqslant|z| \leqslant 6.0236$.

Example 2.

$$
p(z)=\frac{1}{2} z^{5}+\frac{1}{2} z^{4}+\frac{2}{5} z^{3}+\frac{3}{10} z^{2}+\frac{1}{5} z-(10)^{3} .
$$

Theorem B gives that $p(z)$ has all its zeros in $|z| \leqslant 4001$ and by our Theorem, all the zeros of $p(z)$ are contained in $|z| \leqslant 63.2535$.

## 2. Lemmas

Lemma 1. If $p(z)$ is analytic inside and on the unit circle, $|p(z)| \leqslant M$ $(M>0)$ on $|z|=1$, and $p(0)=a$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
|p(z)| \leqslant M \frac{M|z|+|a|}{|a||z|+M} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $|z|<1$.
Lemma 1 is a well-known generalization of Schwarz's lemma.
From a lemma due to Govil, Rahman and Schmeisser [3, p. 325], one can easily prove

Lemma 2. If $p(z)$ is analytic in $|z| \leqslant R, p(0)=0, \quad p^{\prime}(0)=b$, and $|p(z)| \leqslant M$ for $|z|=R$, then, for $|z| \leqslant R$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|p(z)| \leqslant \frac{M|z|}{R^{2}} \frac{M|z|+R^{2}|b|}{M+|z||b|} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Proof of the Theorem

## Consider

$$
\begin{align*}
g(z) & =(1-z) p(z) \\
& =-a_{n} z^{n+1}+\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(a_{k}-a_{k-1}\right) z^{k}+a_{0} \\
& =-a_{n} z^{n+1}+P(z), \quad \text { say. } \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

If by $Q(z)$ we denote the polynomial $z^{n} P(1 / z)$, then

$$
Q(z)=\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(a_{k}-a_{k-1}\right) z^{n-k}+a_{0} z^{n}
$$

For $|z|=1$, we have

$$
|Q(z)| \leqslant \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(a_{k}-a_{k-1}\right)+\left|a_{0}\right|=M_{1}
$$

Applying Lemma 1 to the function $Q(z)$, we get for $|z| \leqslant 1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|z^{n} P\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)\right|=|Q(z)| \leqslant M_{1} \frac{M_{1}|z|+\left(a_{n}-a_{n-1}\right)}{\left(a_{n}-a_{n-1}\right)|z|+M_{1}} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $|z|>1$, then (3.2) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
|P(z)| \leqslant M_{1}|z|^{n} \frac{M_{1}+\left(a_{n}-a_{n-1}\right)|z|}{\left(a_{n}-a_{n-1}\right)+M_{1}|z|} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus for $|z|=R>1$,

$$
\begin{align*}
|g(z)| & \geqslant\left|-a_{n} z^{n+1}+P(z)\right| \\
& \geqslant\left|a_{n}\right| R^{n+1}-|P(z)| \\
& \geqslant\left|a_{n}\right| R^{n+1}-M_{1} R^{n} \frac{M_{1}+R\left(a_{n}-a_{n-1}\right)}{M_{1} R+\left(a_{n}-a_{n-1}\right)} \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\left|a_{n}\right| R^{n+1}-M_{1} R^{n} \frac{M_{1}+c R}{M_{1} R+c} \quad(\text { by }(1.4)) \\
& =\frac{R^{n}}{M_{1} R+c}\left[M_{1}\left|a_{n}\right| R^{2}-c R\left(M_{1}-\left|a_{n}\right|\right)-M_{1}^{2}\right] \\
& >0
\end{aligned}
$$

if

$$
\begin{aligned}
R & >\frac{c}{2}\left(\frac{1}{\left|a_{n}\right|}-\frac{1}{M_{1}}\right)+\left\{\frac{c^{2}}{4}\left(\frac{1}{\left|a_{n}\right|}-\frac{1}{M_{1}}\right)^{2}+\frac{M_{1}}{\left|a_{n}\right|}\right\}^{1 / 2} \\
& =R_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $p(z)$ has all its zeros in

$$
\begin{equation*}
|z| \leqslant R_{1} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next we show that $p(z)$ has no zeros in $|z|<R_{2}$. We have by (3.1)

$$
\begin{align*}
g(z) & =a_{0}+\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(a_{k}-a_{k-1}\right) z^{k}-a_{n} z^{n+1} \\
& =a_{0}+f(z), \quad \text { say. } \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Clearly, if $|z| \leqslant R_{1}$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
|f(z)| & \leqslant\left|a_{n}\right| R_{1}^{n+1}+\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(a_{k}-a_{k-1}\right) R_{1}^{k} \\
& \leqslant\left|a_{n}\right| R_{1}^{n+1}+R_{1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(a_{k}-a_{k-1}\right) \\
& =\left|a_{n}\right| R_{1}^{n+1}+R_{1}^{n}\left(a_{n}-a_{0}\right) \\
& =R_{1}^{n}\left(\left|a_{n}\right| R_{1}+a_{n}-a_{0}\right) \\
& =M_{2} . \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Further, since $f(0)=0$ and $f^{\prime}(0)=a_{1}-a_{0}=b$, by Lemma 2 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(z)| \leqslant \frac{M_{2}|z|}{R_{1}^{2}} \frac{M_{2}|z|+R_{1}^{2} b}{M_{2}+|z| b} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $|z| \leqslant R_{1}$.

Combining (3.5) and (3.7), we get, for $|z| \leqslant R_{1}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|g(z)| & \geqslant\left|a_{0}\right|-\frac{M_{2}|z|}{R_{1}^{2}} \frac{M_{2}|z|+R_{1}^{2} b}{M_{2}+|z| b} \\
& =-\frac{1}{R_{1}^{2}\left(M_{2}+|z| b\right)}\left[|z|^{2} M_{2}^{2}+R_{1}^{2} b|z|\left(M_{2}-\left|a_{0}\right|\right)-\left|a_{0}\right| R_{1}^{2} M_{2}\right] \\
& >0
\end{aligned}
$$

if

$$
\begin{aligned}
|z| & <\frac{-R_{1}^{2} b\left(M_{2-}\left|a_{0}\right|\right)+\left\{R_{1}^{4} b^{2}\left(M_{2}-\left|a_{0}\right|\right)^{2}+4\left|a_{0}\right| R_{1}^{2} M_{2}^{3}\right\}^{1 / 2}}{2 M_{2}^{2}} \\
& =R_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

(since $M_{2}-\left|a_{0}\right|=M_{2}-|f(1)| \geqslant 0$ by (3.6)), which implies that $p(z)$ has no zeros in

$$
\begin{equation*}
|z|<R_{2}, \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the theorem follows.
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